Washington Local School District

3505 W Lincolnshire Blvd, Toledo, OH 43606-1231 - Lucas County

State Indicators

3rd Grade Achievement

4th Grade Achievement

2. Mathematics

7. Mathematics

7th Grade Achievement

8th Grade Achievement

8. Science 9. Social Studies 6th Grade Achievement 10. Reading 11. Mathematics

12. Reading

14. Writing

15. Reading

19. Reading

21. Writing

22. Science

24. Reading

26. Writing

27. Science

Attendance Rate

29. All Grades

30. District

13. Mathematics

16. Mathematics 17. Science

18. Social Studies

20. Mathematics

23. Social Studies

25. Mathematics

28. Social Studies

2006-07 Graduation Rate

Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)²

1. Reading

3. Reading 4. Mathematics 5. Writing 5th Grade Achievement 6. Reading



The District Report Card for the 2007-2008 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.









Adequate Value Added Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction*
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

*new designation beginning 2007-2008 due to Value Added State Indicators


To meet a test indicator for grades

3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Test, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2007-2008 School Year Report Card










_			<i></i>
Pe	-	s at and above the Pi	state
	Your District 2007-2008	Similar Districts' 2007-2008	2007-2008
	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
	83.4 % 🗸	77.5 %	77.4 %
	85.4 % ✓	80.1 %	79.3 %
		quirement is 75 pe	
	84.4 % 🖌	81.0 %	81.1 %
	80.0 % 🗸	75.2 %	74.6 %
	84.4 % 🗸	82.4 %	81.7 %
	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
	74.9 %	73.4 %	72.7 %
	66.2 %	61.5 %	61.8 %
	67.7 %	64.2 %	66.4 %
	68.2 %	62.9 %	64.8 %
	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
	86.5 % 🗸	80.1 %	79.7 %
	81.6 % 🖌	77.1 %	76.6 %
		uirement is 75 pe	
	84.5 % 🗸	75.9 %	77.3 %
	73.2 %	69.9 %	68.8 %
	92.4 % 🗸	84.9 %	85.7 %
	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
1	80.4 % 🖌	78.9 %	79.4 %
	74.7 %	72.6 %	72.8 %
	60.5 %	59.4 %	62.2 %
	42.5 %	50.2 %	53.5 %
)		quirement is 75 pe	ercent
	87.3 % 🖌	86.5 %	85.2 %
	88.2 % 🗸	80.6 %	79 %
	88.5 % 🗸	85.6 %	85.2 %
	75.5 % 🗸	73.4 %	72.8 %
	80.4 % 🗸	78.9 %	78.4 %
2		quirement is 85 pe	ercent
	95.0 % 🖌	93.2 %	91.9 %
	93.4 % 🖌	90.2 %	88.2 %
	95.0 % 🖌	94.2 %	93 %
	89.0 % 🗸	85.8 %	83.6 %
	89.7 % 🗸	88.4 %	86.5 %
		quirement is 93 pe	
	94.3 % 🗸	94.6 %	94.2 %
		quirement is 90 pe	
	87.7 %	89.0 %	86.9 %
is indi	cated by a 🗸.		
in	a second second second second state for shows		/

Any result at or above the state standard is ¹Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors. ²Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders. IRN# 048231

Department of **Education**

w



Performance Index Score

Performance Index Score Calculations for the 2007-2008 School Year

3-8 and 10 for a (Includes every	vel Across Grades II Tested Subjects student enrolled in full academic year)	Percentage	х	Weight	=	Points
	Untested	0.6	Х	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	5.2	Х	0.3	=	1.5
	Basic	16.9	Х	0.6	=	10.1
	Proficient	38.1	Х	1.0	=	38.1
	Accelerated	25.7	Х	1.1	=	28.3
	Advanced	13.6	Х	1.2	=	16.3

Your District's Performance Index Score 94.4



The Performance Index Score reflects the achievement of


every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index Score is a weighted average of all tested subjects and grades. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2), and the weights decrease for each performance level. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index Score can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Score Over Time

2007-2008	2006-2007	2005-2006
94.4	94.3	94.4

Val	ue-A	\dde	ed M	easi	ure	
Overall Composite	+			and overall c school year.		
	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	
Reading	-	-	+	\checkmark	+	
Mathematics	-	+	+	+	+	
	-					Legend
Your district's value- district has made w In contrast, achiever	ith its sti	udents si	nce last e	school yea	ar.	+ = Above Expected Growth
at a point in time. A year of progress has been	Het Expected Growth					
progress has been achieve progress has been achieve	ed; "Below	" indicate	es less th	an one ye	ar of	= Below Expected Growth

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov



AYP

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students; Economically Disadvantaged Students; Asian/Pacific Islander Students; Black, non-Hispanic Students; American Indian/Alaskan Native Students; Hispanic Students; Multi-Racial Students; White, non-Hispanic Students; Students with Disabilities (IEP); and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. AYP also can affect the state designation that a school or district receives in one of two ways. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal conse-

quences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in

Washington Local School District, Lucas County

the state's rating designation.

State and Federally Required District Information

	Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level													
	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian or Nat. Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng Limit	ed									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	14.5 3.7 15.7 9.8 11.3	17.6 NC 5.9 NC NC	0.0 NC 0.0 NC NC	8.1 1.4 7.3 3.4 5.2	9.4 2.7 10.0 10.0 8.5	4.2 1.7 5.1 3.3 5.7	3.1 0.7 3.8 2.4 4.2	18.6 8.2 19.5 13.6 17.5		3.6 1.5 4.0 2.3 5.1	8.3 2.4 9.3 7.2 8.2	6.8 0.0 8.5 10.0 10.0	4.4 1.0 6.3 4.2 5.3	6.6 2.7 6.2 4.2 7.2
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng <mark>Basi</mark> o	•									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	14.9 19.3 24.5 44.3 46.8	23.5 NC 23.5 NC NC	15.8 NC 5.3 NC NC	14.2 11.4 28.0 37.9 44.8	10.4 17.8 15.3 25.7 31.0	10.8 8.1 13.8 26.0 27.8	8.9 6.3 12.3 25.3 27.3	24.7 28.3 32.3 43.0 46.7		8.7 6.7 12.2 24.0 25.1	15.1 14.5 19.8 34.5 38.8	32.2 13.6 13.6 45.0 45.0	9.7 6.8 16.4 31.4 32.9	12.9 12.4 14.4 25.0 28.1
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng <mark>Prof</mark> i	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	42.7 45.9 42.1 30.3 23.4	47.1 NC 47.1 NC NC	26.3 NC 31.6 NC NC	47.3 50.0 34.7 32.8 20.7	38.0 45.2 41.1 28.6 35.2	42.5 48.5 35.4 32.1 31.0	43.6 48.9 38.2 34.2 33.1	36.1 43.8 25.6 19.3 14.4		41.0 46.5 34.2 32.6 29.9	44.4 51.0 39.0 30.8 30.7	30.5 54.5 45.8 35.0 30.0	41.3 43.0 37.7 31.4 30.1	43.5 53.3 34.8 32.4 30.3
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng <mark>Acce</mark>	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	22.5 27.5 11.5 9.8 13.7	5.9 NC 23.5 NC NC	36.8 NC 31.6 NC NC	25.0 37.1 22.0 20.7 22.4	34.4 34.2 20.5 25.7 18.3	29.5 38.3 22.5 25.4 19.8	31.7 41.5 23.0 25.4 20.1	13.9 12.3 13.7 14.9 14.8		32.6 41.7 24.4 26.3 22.1	23.8 29.5 17.6 19.7 14.6	25.4 27.3 18.6 5.0 5.0	31.6 45.3 21.6 23.6 19.0	26.5 29.1 21.5 24.0 19.5
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng <mark>Adva</mark>	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	5.3 3.7 6.1 5.7 4.8	5.9 NC 0.0 NC NC	21.1 NC 31.6 NC NC	5.4 0.0 8.0 5.2 6.9	7.8 0.0 13.2 10.0 7.0	12.9 3.4 23.3 13.3 15.6	12.7 2.5 22.8 12.6 15.3	6.7 7.3 9.0 9.2 6.6	 	14.1 3.6 25.1 14.7 17.8	8.5 2.6 14.4 7.9 7.7	5.1 4.5 13.6 5.0 10.0	13.1 4.0 18.0 9.5 12.7	10.5 2.4 23.2 14.4 15.0

Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

Your District's Students 2007-2008

				Рe	rcentage					
Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
6568	8.2%	0.5%	0.7%	4.7%	5.4%	80.6%	39.5%	1.7%	14.8%	NC

NC = Not calculated; displayed when there are fewer than 10 students in student group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students **Excluded from Accountability Calculations**

0.0

100.0

All Schools in Your District

0.0

< 10

1.5

98.3

Low-Poverty

Schools Located

in Your District*

0.0

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree certain data about schools and teachers. Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree Data presented here Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary are for reporting classes not taught by highly qualified teachers purposes only and are not used in the Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers computation of the state designation for districts and Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure schools. -- = No data were reported.

Federally Required School Teacher Information Your District State 95.7 99.2 60.7 58.8

*High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile

5

High-Poverty Schools Located in Your District*

Schools in School Improvement

Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in SI for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

Greenwood Elementary Whitmer High 3 Jeff 3

Jefferson Junior High

3

Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2007

Measure	2006-07 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate	87.7 %	EMIS
Mean ACT Score	21	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT	55.9 %	ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	1104	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT	4.1 %	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	18.6 %	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	40	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	3.7 %	College Board, EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one Tech Prep Course	353	EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one PSEO course	0	EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

EMIS - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education **ACT College Entrance Exam** - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT College

Legend

Entrance Test
College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit membership association that administers the SAT exam

PSEO - Post-Secondary Enrollment Options, a program that enables high school students, grades 9-12, to earn college and high school graduation credit

 \pmb{AP} - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

 $\ensuremath{\text{Tech}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{Tech}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{res}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{res}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{res}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{Tech}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{res}}\xspace{\ensuremath{\text{re$

Determining Your District's Rating

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary rating, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index score and 3) AYP status.

Preliminary Designation		AYP Status		Performance Index Score		Indicators Met
Excellent	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 %
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary rating results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's performance index score. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If a district does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- 3. In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, value-added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- 1. If your district's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then value-added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your district experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will increase by one level.
- 3. In all other cases, value added has no effect on the rating and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

		F	7				
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure	۲_	Final Designation			
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years		Excellent with Distinction			
Excellent	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating		Excellent			
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent				
Enecuve	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Effective				
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years		Effective			
	unu	Otherwise no effect on rating		Continuous Improvement			
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years Otherwise no effect on rating		Continuous Improvement			
	anu			Academic Watch			
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years		Academic Watch			
Actual Intergency	unu	Otherwise no effect on rating		Academic Emergency			

Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov