

# 2016 - 2017 Report Card for Washington Local School District

## DISTRICT GRADE

Coming in  
2018



### Achievement

The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

D

Performance Index	69.5%.....	D
Indicators Met	0.0%.....	F



### Progress

The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

D

Value-Added		
Overall.....		F
Gifted.....		C
Students with Disabilities.....		F
Lowest 20% in Achievement.....		C



### Gap Closing

The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

F

Annual Measurable Objectives	37.7%.....	F
------------------------------	------------	---



### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

C

Graduation Rates		
87.6% of students graduated in 4 years.....		C
89.1% of students graduated in 5 years.....		C



### K-3 Literacy

The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

B

K-3 Literacy Improvement	50.4%.....	B
--------------------------	------------	---



### Prepared for Success

Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

#### COMPONENT GRADE

D

# Achievement



The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

COMPONENT GRADE

D


GRADE

D

## Performance Index

The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving the performance of all students, regardless of achievement level.

### Performance Index




69.5%


83.4 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%

Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level		Points Received
Advanced Plus	0.3	x	1.3	=	0.4
Advanced	10.6	x	1.2	=	12.7
Accelerated	20.7	x	1.1	=	22.8
Proficient	30.3	x	1.0	=	30.3
Basic	19.6	x	0.6	=	11.8
Limited	18.1	x	0.3	=	5.4
Untested	0.3	x	0.0	=	0.0
					83.4



Performance Index Trend



GRADE  
**F**


Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. It also includes the gifted indicator. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. The passage rate for each indicator is 80%.

Indicators Met %

**0.0%**  
0 out of 24

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%



Grades 3-5

<b>3rd Grade</b>	English Language Arts	60.2%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	62.4%	<b>X</b>
<b>4th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	62.8%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	72.6%	<b>X</b>
	Social Studies	78.1%	<b>X</b>
<b>5th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	64.5%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	58.8%	<b>X</b>
	Science	70.4%	<b>X</b>

Grades 6-8




<b>6th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	59.3%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	63.1%	<b>X</b>
	Social Studies	68.5%	<b>X</b>
<b>7th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	61.1%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	47.3%	<b>X</b>
<b>8th Grade</b>	English Language Arts	47.6%	<b>X</b>
	Mathematics	63.0%	<b>X</b>
	Science	70.6%	<b>X</b>

High School




<b>HS</b>	Algebra I	44.2%	<b>X</b>
	Biology	70.4%	<b>X</b>
	English I	57.5%	<b>X</b>
	English II	48.1%	<b>X</b>
	Geometry	48.5%	<b>X</b>
	Government	74.5%	<b>X</b>
	History	75.0%	<b>X</b>

GIFTED INDICATOR **X**


Achievement Levels by Grade




Proficient Percent Trend by Grade




6th Grade




6th Grade




7th Grade




7th Grade



8th Grade





8th Grade



High School

High School

# 2016 - 2017 Report Card for Washington Local School District



## Gifted Students



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

INDICATOR

Not Met

### Overview

The Gifted Indicator is derived from three components: Gifted Value Added grade, the Performance Index for gifted students, and a Gifted Inputs score.

#### Gifted Value Added

Value Added Grade:	C	Districts must earn a Gifted Value Added grade of C or better to meet the Gifted Value Added component. A grade of "NR" results in Not Met if the district has an ADM of 600 or more.
Enrollment:	7,015	
Value Added Met?:	1	

#### Gifted Performance Index

Performance Index:	111.989	Districts with at least 10 unique students in the Gifted Performance Index calculation must score 117.0 or better to meet the Gifted Performance Index component.
Performance Index Met?:	Not Met	

#### Gifted Inputs

Total Points:	45.0	Points are earned based on identification and services provided to gifted students. Districts must earn at least 80 points out of a possible 100 to meet the Gifted Inputs component.
Gifted Inputs Met?:	Not Met	

#### Gifted Indicator Final Result


INDICATOR

Not Met

The Gifted Indicator is Met if none of the three components are Not Met. Gifted Inputs alone cannot determine the Gifted Indicator, however; if both the Value Added and Performance Index components are NC, then the Gifted Indicator is also NC.

### Performance Index

The Performance Index calculation for gifted students. Only tests taken by students identified as gifted in that subject (e.g. gifted in Math and taking the Math test), or taken by students identified with Super Cognitive abilities regardless of test subject are included.




93.3%

111.989 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%

Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level	=	Points Received
Advanced Plus	3.5	x	1.3	=	4.5
Advanced	44.2	x	1.2	=	53.1
Accelerated	35.2	x	1.1	=	38.7
Proficient	14.3	x	1.0	=	14.3
Basic	2.0	x	0.6	=	1.2
Limited	0.6	x	0.3	=	0.2
Untested	0.2	x	0.0	=	0.0
					<b>111.989</b>




### Gifted Indicator


The Gifted Inputs calculation assigns points based on the percentage of students identified and served in eight categories (factors). The points earned for each category are totaled to determine the final Met/Not Met determination for the Gifted Input component.

Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies, and Superior Cognitive Abilities


K-3



4-8




9-12




Visual/Performing Arts and Creative Thinking


K-3




4-8




9-12



Disadvantaged Students



Minority Students



Receiving Gifted Services

Identified as Gifted, but not receiving services


Not Identified as Gifted




## Identification and Services

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.


All Grades




Grades K-3



Grades 4-8




Grades 9-12




### Identified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.


All Grades




Grades K-3



Grades 4-8




Grades 9-12



### Screening

This chart shows the percentage of students screened for gifted abilities this school year.



### Acceleration

Number of Subject Accelerated Students:

88

Number of Whole-Grade Accelerated Students:

< 10

## Progress



The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

COMPONENT GRADE
D

GRADE	Overall
F	This measures the progress for all students in math, ELA, science and social studies using tests in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course exams.

GRADE	Gifted Students
C	This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, science, social studies and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE	Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement
C	This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading, math, science or social studies achievement.

GRADE	Students with Disabilities
F	This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

## Progress Details

These tables show the Progress scores by test grade and subject for students in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course tests, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade	Progress Score				
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Social Studies	Science	All Tests
All Grades					
4th Grade					
5th Grade					
6th Grade					
7th Grade					
8th Grade					

Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	English I	
	English II	

Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	Algebra I	
	Geometry	


### What do the colors mean?

The Progress Component measures how groups of students made progress as compared to the statewide expectation of growth. The expectation of growth is based on how students in the group performed, on average, compared to other students like them across the state

- Students made more progress than expected – significant evidence
- Students made more progress than expected – moderate evidence
- Students made progress similar to the statewide expectation – evidence
- Students made less progress than expected – moderate evidence
- Students made less progress than expected – significant evidence

### Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



## Gap Closing



The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

COMPONENT GRADE


F

GRADE

F


### Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.




37.7%


- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 60.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 59.9%



- White
- Multiracial
- Hispanic
- Limited English
- All Students
- Econ Disadvantage
- African American
- Students w/ Disabilities



- White
- Hispanic
- Limited English
- African American
- All Students
- Econ Disadvantage
- Multiracial
- Students w/ Disabilities



- African American
- All Students
- Multiracial
- Students w/ Disabilities
- White
- Econ Disadvantage
- Hispanic

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2017 AMO for ELA is 77.1%, for Math is 72%, and for Graduation Rate is 85.1%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

## Graduation Rate



The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

COMPONENT GRADE

C

### 4-Year Graduation Rate


The 4-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2016 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2013 and graduated by 2016.

GRADE


C

87.6%


- A = 93.0 - 100.0%
- B = 89.0 - 92.9%
- C = 84.0 - 88.9%
- D = 79.0 - 83.9%
- F = 0.0 - 78.9%



4-Year Rate



5-Year Rate



### 5-Year Graduation Rate


The 5-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2015 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2012 and graduated by 2016.

GRADE


C

89.1%

- A = 95.0 - 100.0%
- B = 90.0 - 94.9%
- C = 85.0 - 89.9%
- D = 80.0 - 84.9%
- F = 0.0 - 79.9%



Graduation Rate Trend



Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5-year rate for that school year.



## K-3 Literacy



The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.


COMPONENT GRADE

B

GRADE

**B**

K-3 Literacy Improvement



50.4%


A = 74.7 - 100.0%  
 B = 49.3 - 74.6%  
 C = 23.9 - 49.2%  
 D = -1.5 - 23.8%  
 F = <= -1.6%

### In Your District...

383 Students Moved to On Track - 1 RIMP Deductions


758 Students Started Off Track

**= 50.4%**




RIMP = Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. Districts are required to create a RIMP for students not on track to be proficient in English Language Arts by the end of 3rd grade.

### Details of Measure



Percentage On-Track in Reading Diagnostic



This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2016-2017.

Third Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the reading portion of the state's third grade English language arts test given twice during the school year. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade? **93.2%**

How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test? **60.2%**

## Prepared for Success




Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

COMPONENT GRADE

D

COMPONENT GRADE

D



29.2%


- A = 90.0% - 100.0%
- B = 70.0% - 89.9%
- C = 45.0% - 69.9%
- D = 25.0% - 44.9%
- F = 0.0% - 24.9%

Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential

The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school

	Number of Students	Point Value	Points Earned
Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential	237	1	237.0
The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school	192	0.3	57.6
<b>Total Points:</b>			<b>294.6</b>
<b>Graduation Cohort:</b>			<b>1,010</b>
<b>Percentage:</b>			<b>29.2%</b>

### How Prepared were Your 2015 and 2016 Graduating Classes?




Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2012 and 2013.

### How Prepared were Your 2015 and 2016 Graduating Classes?


Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides [district reports](#) on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.

What Percentage of the 2014 Graduating Class Entered College within Two Years? **46.9%**



What Percentage of the 2010 Graduating Class Graduated from College within Six Years of Leaving High School? **23.0%**



---

Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2012 and 2013.



Superintendent: Susan M. Hayward  
 Address: 3505 W Lincolnshire Blvd  
 Toledo OH 43606-1231

Directory information current as of the 2016-2017 Report Card publication date

Phone: (419) 473-8220

County: Lucas

Career Tech

Planning District: Washington Local CTPD

## Your District's Students

Average Daily Enrollment:

7,019


Number of Limited English Proficiency Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations:

10

### Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	22	0.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	39	0.6%
Black, Non-Hispanic	663	9.4%
Hispanic	785	11.2%
Multiracial	580	8.3%
White, Non-Hispanic	4,930	70.2%
Students with Disabilities	967	13.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	3,920	55.8%
Limited English Proficiency	81	1.2%
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio English Language Proficiency Assessment (OELPA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OELPA results, see the Department of Education's web site at <http://education.ohio.gov>.


### Attendance

**Chronic Absenteeism Rate:**

13.3%

	Attendance Rate
All Students	94.3%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	88.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	97.1%
Black, Non-Hispanic	93.9%
Hispanic	93.6%
Multiracial	93.4%
White, Non-Hispanic	94.6%
Students with Disabilities	92.8%
Economic Disadvantage	93.4%
Limited English Proficiency	95.5%
Migrant	NC
Male	94.4%
Female	94.2%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group




Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

Mobility Rates by Subgroup

	District Mobility %
All Students	10.1%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	13.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	11.6%
Black, Non-Hispanic	18.8%
Hispanic	13.3%
Multiracial	12.8%
White, Non-Hispanic	7.9%
Students with Disabilities	12.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	11.9%
Limited English Proficiency	14.8%
Migrant	NC

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

### Your District's Teachers

	All Schools in the District	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	99.1	0.0	0.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	69.4	0.0	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	--	--
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100	--	--
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	--	--

A district's high poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of the district's economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile, or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

### Educators in your District

	#	Per 1000 Students	State Avg per 1000 Students
School Counselors	18.0	2.6	2.3
School Nurses	5.0	0.7	1.1
School Psychologists	6.0	0.9	1.1
Interpreters	0.0	0.0	0.2
Library or Media Specialists	12.0	1.7	1.4
Audiologist	0.0	0.0	0.0
Physical/Occupational Therapist	3.0	0.4	0.8
Social Worker	1.0	0.1	0.2
General Education Teachers	295.5	42.1	46.8
Career-Technical Teachers	22.0	3.1	2.3
Special Education Teachers	74.7	10.6	10.9
Teacher Aides	60.6	8.6	7.3
Gifted Intervention Specialists	3.7	0.5	0.6
Fine Arts Teachers	15.9	2.3	3.0
Music Teachers	13.1	1.9	2.5
Physical Education Teachers	19.9	2.8	2.8
ELL Specialists	1.0	0.1	0.3
Adaptive Physical Education Teachers	0.0	0.0	0.0
Speech Language Pathologists	6.0	0.9	1.4

### Your District's Principals

Percentage of principals with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%
Percentage of principals with at least a Master's Degree	100.0%

Attendance Rate

**94.9%**

Average Salary

**\$66,992**

Average Years of Experience


**16**

Lead or Senior Teachers

**1.0**




Teacher Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing  
Ineffective Not Complete

Principal Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing  
Ineffective Not Complete

### Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

Compliance with the federal requirement for implementing a local wellness policy



Elected to administer BMI screening



Participation in Physical Activity Pilot Program



### School Choice Options: Place of Enrollment for Students Residing in the District

The School Choice Options data is a set of nine counts describing the place of enrollment for students residing in the school district, captured as a snapshot of a single day in the school year. Web links provide further information about certain options.

#### Districts and STEM Schools

6,774 students enrolled in the district where they lived

78 students enrolled in another public district through Open Enrollment

59 students enrolled in another public district by means other than Open Enrollment

#### Community Schools

100 students enrolled in an online community school

224 students enrolled in a site-based community school

38 students enrolled in a Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program (online or site-based)\*

\*Students included in Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program counts are also included in either the online or site-based community school counts.

#### Non-Public Schools\*

0 students participated in the EdChoice Scholarship or Cleveland Scholarship Program

41 students participated in the EdChoice Expansion Program

11 students participated in the Ohio Autism Scholarship or Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program

\*ODE does not collect and cannot report information on district residents who are non-voucher students attending a non-public school.

## Financial Data



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment between 5000 and 9999

### Classroom Spending Data


What percent of funds are spent on classroom instruction?

70.0%

How does this district rank in comparison to other districts of similar size?

20 out of 46




A rank of 1 indicates the highest percent spent on classroom instruction.



District

Comparison Group

State




Classroom Instruction

Non-Classroom Instruction

### Spending per Pupil Data

	District	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$9,583	\$9,149
Classroom Instruction	\$6,713	\$6,181
Non-Classroom Spending	\$2,870	\$2,968



✗ Washington Local IS NOT among the 20% of public districts with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil


✗ Washington Local IS NOT among the 20% of public districts with the highest academic performance index scores.

Note: District financial data do not include data associated with community schools that are sponsored by the school district.

# Spending and Performance


This measure answers the question – what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

### Similar Districts




Spending per Pupil

### Comparison Group



Spending per Pupil

### All Districts




Spending per Pupil

# Source of Revenue


Source of Funds	District		State Total	
Local	\$37,077,079	41.6%	\$9,013,382,621	39.7%
State	\$38,426,300	43.1%	\$10,330,371,036	45.5%
Federal	\$6,266,761	7.0%	\$1,689,769,806	7.4%
Other Non-Tax	\$7,318,040	8.2%	\$1,662,080,608	7.3%
Total	\$89,088,180	100.0%	\$22,695,604,071	100.0%

District



■ Local  
■ State  
■ Federal  
■ Other Non-Tax

State



■ Local  
■ Federal  
■ State  
■ Other Non-Tax



Superintendent: Susan M. Hayward  
 Address: 3505 W Lincolnshire Blvd  
 Toledo OH 43606-1231

Phone: (419) 473-8220  
 County: Lucas

Your District's Schools

School	Achievement	Progress	Gap Closing	Graduation Rate	K-3 Literacy	Prepared for Success
Greenwood Elementary School	D	A	F	NR	C	NR
Hiawatha Elementary School	D	B	F	NR	C	NR
Jackman Elementary School	D	B	B	NR	B	NR
Jefferson Junior High School	D	D	F	NR	NR	NR
Mcgregor Elementary School	C	B	B	NR	B	NR
Meadowvale Elementary School	D	D	F	NR	B	NR
Monac Elementary School	C	D	C	NR	B	NR
Shoreland Elementary School	D	B	D	NR	C	NR
Washington Junior High School	D	D	F	NR	NR	NR
Wernert Elementary School	D	C	F	NR	C	NR
Whitmer High School	D	D	F	C	NR	D

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.