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## Achievement



The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

## COMPONENT GRADE

GRADE
Performance I ndex
The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points or every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more or every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more mproving the performance of all students, regardless of achievement level

Performance Index


Performance Index Trend
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I ndicators Met
Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. It also includes the gifted indicator. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject.

## Indicators Met \%

## 27.6\% <br> 8 out of 29

$A=90.0-100.0 \%$
$B=80.0-89.9 \%$
$\mathrm{B}=80.0-89.9 \%$
$\mathrm{C}=70.79 .9 \%$
$\mathrm{D}=50.0-69.9 \%$
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The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers severa questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

## Overview

The Gifted Indicator is derived from three components: Gifted Value Added grade, the Performance Index for gifted students, and a Gifted Inputs score.

## Gifted Value Added

| Value Added Grade: | F |
| ---: | :---: |
| Enrollment: | 6,946 |
| Value Added Met? | Not Met |

Districts must earn a Gifted Value Added grade of C or better to meet the Gifted Value Added
component. A grade of "NR" results in Not Met if the district has an ADM of 600 or more.

## Gifted Performance Index

Performance Index: 110.251
Performance Index Met?: Not Met

Districts with at least 10 unique students in the Gifted Performance Index calculation must score 116.0 or better to meet the Gifted Performance Index component.

Gifted Inputs
Points are earned based on identification and

Total Points: 42.0
Gifted I nputs Met?: Not Met
services provided to gifted students. Districts must earn at least 60 points out of a possible 100 to meet the Gifted Inputs component

## Gifted I ndicator Final Result

INDICATOR
Not Met

The Gifted Indicator is Met if none of the three components are Not Met. Gifted Inputs alone cannot determine the Gifted Indicator, however; if both the Value Added and Performance Index components are NC, then the Gifted Indicator is also NC.

INDICATOR
Not Met
91.9\%
110.251 of a possible 120.0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=90.0-100.0 \% \\
& B=80.0-89.9 \% \\
& C=70.0-79.9 \% \\
& D=50.0-69.9 \% \\
& F=0.0-49.9 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Performance I ndex

The Performance Index calculation for gifted students. Only tests taken by students identified as gifted in that subject (e.g. gifted in Math and taking the Math test), or taken by students identified with Super Cognitive abilities regardless of test subject are included

| Achievement <br> Level | Pct of <br> Students | Points for <br> this Level | Points <br> Received |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Plus | 2.2 | x | 1.3 | $=$ | 2.9 |
| Advanced | 44.8 | x | 1.2 | $=$ | 53.7 |
| Accelerated | 29.6 | x | 1.1 | $=$ | 32.6 |
| Proficient | 19.0 | x | 1.0 | $=$ | 19.0 |
| Basic | 2.9 | x | 0.6 | $=$ | 1.8 |
| Limited | 1.0 | x | 0.3 | $=$ | 0.3 |
| Untested | 0.4 | x | 0.0 | $=$ | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 0 . 2 5 1}$ |



- Advanced Plus
- Advanced
- Accelerated
- Proficient

Limited
Basic
Untested
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## Gifted I ndicator

The Gifted Inputs calculation assigns points based on the percentage of students identified and served in eight categories (factors). The points earned for each category are totaled to determine the final Met/Not Me determination for the Gifted Input component.

Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies, and Superior Cognitive Abilities

K-3


K-3


## Disadvantaged Students

Receiving Gifted Services


4-8


Visual/ Performing Arts and Creative Thinking
4-8


Minority Students


Identified as Gifted, but not receiving services
$9-12$


9-12


## I dentification and Services

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.
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## I dentified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.
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## Screening

This chart shows the percentage of students screened for gifted abilities this school year.


## Acceleration

Number of Subject Accelerated Students:

43

Number of Whole-Grade Accelerated Students:
$<10$
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## Progress



The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

## COMPONENT GRADE



GRADE

## Overall

This measures the progress for all students in math, ELA, science and social studies using tests in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course exams.

GRADE

## Gifted Students

This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, science, social studies and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE Students in the Lowest 20\% in Achievement This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20\% statewide in reading, math, science or social studies achievement.

GRADE
Students with Disabilities
This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

## Progress Details

These tables show the Progress scores by test grade and subject for students in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course tests, and includes up to three years of data as available.

| Test Grade | Progress Score |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English <br> Language Arts | Mathematics | Social Studies | Science |
| All Grades | -10.45 | -4.17 | 0.05 | 2.25 |
| 4th Grade | 3.03 | -2.80 |  |  |
| 5th Grade | -2.67 | -1.55 |  | 6.15 |
| 6th Grade | -4.19 | 0.44 | 0.05 |  |
| 7th Grade | -6.36 | -5.10 |  |  |
| 8th Grade | -6.62 | -3.05 |  | -2.78 |


| Test Grade |  | Progress Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School | English I | -6.13 |
|  | English II | -8.35 |


| Test Grade |  | Progress Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School | Algebra I | 2.05 |
|  | Geometry | 0.56 |

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:


Progress vs. Performance I ndex

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.
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## Gap Closing



The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

## COMPONENT GRADE

## Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation - and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.


The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2016 AMO for ELA is $74.2 \%$, for Math is $68.5 \%$, and for Graduation Rate is $82.8 \%$. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.
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## Graduation Rate



The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

## COMPONENT GRADE

C

## 4-Year Graduation Rate

The 4-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2015 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2012 and graduated by 2015


## 5-Year Graduation Rate

The 5 -year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2014 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2011 and graduated by 2015
$D=80.0-84.9 \%$
$F=0.0-79.9 \%$


4-Year Rate


- District - State Average


## - Similar

 Districts5-Year Rate


## Graduation Rate Trend



Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5 -year rate for that school year.

## K-3 Literacy



The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.

## COMPONENT GRADE

D


K-3 Literacy Improvement


## 32.6\%

138 out of 423
$A=81.4-100.0 \%$
$B=62.6-81.3 \%$
$A=81.4-100.0 \%$
$B=62.6-81.3 \%$
$\mathrm{C}=43.8-62.5 \%$
$\mathrm{D}=25.0-43.7 \%$
$\mathrm{~F}=0.0-24.9 \%$

## In Your District...

68
kindergarten students were not on-track last year.
83.8\%
of those students improved to ontrack in 1st grade.
first grade students were not on-track last year.
23.7\%
of those students improved to ontrack in 2nd grade.

122
30.3\%
second grade students were not ontrack last year.
of those students improved to ontrack in 3rd grade.

115 third grade students were not on-track this year.
$\mathbf{1 3 . 9} \%$ of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

## Details of Measure

| Not On-Track at <br> Point A |  | I mproving to On-Track <br> at Point B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kindergarten Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2014-2015 | 68 | to | 1st Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2015-2016 | 57 |
| 1st Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2014-2015 | 118 | to | 2nd Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2015-2016 | 28 |
| 2nd Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2014-2015 | 122 | to | 3rd Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2015-2016 | 37 |
| 3rd Grade Reading <br> Diagnostic, School <br> Year 2015-2016 | 115 | to | 3rd Grade Reading <br> OST, School Year <br> 2015-2016 | 16 |
| Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OST and were |  |  |  |  |
| not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Deduction |
| :---: |

Totals 423138


This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2015-2016.

## Third Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the reading portion of the state's third grade English language arts test given twice during the school year. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading
Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade?

How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading 55.3\% test?
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Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

## COMPONENT GRADE

## D

## COMPONENT GRADE

D


## Number of Point Points

 Students Value Earne
## Number of students that earned a

 remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/ or earned an industryrecognized credentialThe number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at and also earned a 3 or higher on at
least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/ or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school

Total Points: 277.3
Graduation Cohort: 1,003
Percentage: 27.6\%

How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?


Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.
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## How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?

Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides district reports on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.

What Percentage of the 2013 Graduating Class Entered College within Two Years?
49.7
\%

What Percentage of the 2009 Graduating Class Graduated from College within Six
24.1

Years of Leaving High School?
\%

75.9\%
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Superintendent: Susan M. Hayward
Address: 3505 W Lincolnshire Blvd Toledo OH 43606-1231

Directory information current as of the 2015-2016 Report Card publication date

Phone: (419) 473-8220
County: Lucas
Career Tech
Planning District: Washington Local CTPD

## Your District's Students

| Average Daily Enrollment: | Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6,951 |  | Enrollment \# | Enrollment \% |
|  | Am. Indian / Alaskan Native | 19 | 0.3\% |
|  | Asian or Pacific Islander | 45 | 0.6\% |
| Number of Limited English | Black, Non-Hispanic | 676 | 9.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 713 | 10.3\% |
|  | Multiracial | 551 | 7.9\% |
| Proficiency Students | White, Non-Hispanic | 4,948 | 71.2\% |
|  | Students with Disabilities | 880 | 12.7\% |
| Excluded from Accountability Calculations: | Economically Disadvantaged | 3,878 | 55.8\% |
|  | Limited English Proficiency | 81 | 1.2\% |
|  | Migrant | NC |  |

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio English Language Proficiency Assessment (OELPA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OELPA results, see the Department of Education's web site at http://education.ohio.gov.


Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.

Attendance
Chronic
Absenteeism
Rate:

|  | Attendance Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Students | $94.7 \%$ |
| Am. Indian / Alaskan Native | $92.8 \%$ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | $97.4 \%$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | $94.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $93.5 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $94.1 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $95.0 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $93.4 \%$ |
| Economic Disadvantage | $93.8 \%$ |
| Limited English Proficiency | $96.7 \%$ |
| Migrant | NC |
| Male | $94.6 \%$ |
| Female | $94.8 \%$ |
|  |  |

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group


Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10 .

## Mobility Rates by Subgroup

|  | District Mobility \% |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Students | $9.8 \%$ |
| Am. Indian / Alaskan Native | $5.0 \%$ |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | $2.2 \%$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | $17.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $12.8 \%$ |
| Multiracial | $14.3 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $7.9 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $13.6 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $9.7 \%$ |
| Limited English Proficiency | $12.5 \%$ |
| Migrant | NC |
|  |  |

[^0]

This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

## Your District's Teachers

|  | All Schools in the District | High Poverty Schools | Low Poverty Schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree | 70.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | 0 | -- | -- |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers | 100 | -- | -- |
| Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/ licensure | 0 | -- | -- |

A district's high poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of the district's economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile, or in neither quartile.
$N C=$ Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

| Educators in your District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | Per 1000 <br> Students | State Avg per 1000 Students |
| School Counselors | 18.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| School Nurses | 6.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| School Psychologists | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| I nterpreters | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Library or Media Specialists | 14.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Audiologist | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Physical/ Occupational Therapist | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Social Worker | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| General Education Teachers | 287.8 | 41.4 | 46.4 |
| Career-Technical Teachers | 24.0 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Special Education Teachers | 63.0 | 9.1 | 10.6 |
| Teacher Aides | 70.6 | 10.1 | 7.0 |
| Gifted Intervention Specialists | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Fine Arts Teachers | 14.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| Music Teachers | 14.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Physical Education Teachers | 18.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| ELL Specialists | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Adaptive Physical Education Teachers | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Speech Language Pathologists | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

## Teacher Evaluations




## Principal Evaluations
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## Wellness and Physical Education

## The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Compliance with the federal
requirement for implementing a local wellness policy screening

Participation in Physical Activity Pilot Program

Moderate Success
X

## School Choice Options: Place of Enrollment for Students Residing in the District

The School Choice Options data is a set of nine counts describing the place of enrollment for students residing in the school district, captured as a snapshot of a single day in the school year. Web links provide further information about certain options.

## Districts and STEM Schools

6,686 students enrolled in the district where they lived

87 students enrolled in another public district through Open Enrollment

52 students enrolled in another public district by means other than Open Enrollment

Community Schools
99 students enrolled in an online community school

210 students enrolled in a sitebased community school

31 students enrolled in a Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program (online or site-based)*
*Students included in Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program counts are also included in either the online or site-based community school counts.

## Non-Public Schools*

0 students participated in the EdChoice Scholarship or Cleveland Scholarship Program

26 students participated in the EdChoice Expansion Program

16 students participated in the Ohio Autism Scholarship or Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program
*ODE does not collect and cannot report information on district residents who are nonvoucher students attending a non-public school.
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## Financial Data

These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment between 5000 and 9999

## Classroom Spending Data



## Spending per Pupil Data



Note: District financial data do not include data associated with community schools that are sponsored by the school district.

## Spending and Performance

This measure answers the question - what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

Similar Districts


Spending per Pupil

## Comparison Group



Spending per Pupil

All Districts


Spending per Pupil

| Source of Funds | District |  | State Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local | \$36,916,183 | 42.1\% | \$8,636,050,030 | 39.6\% |
| State | \$37,375,887 | 42.7\% | \$9,988,118,791 | 45.8\% |
| Federal | \$5,998,433 | 6.8\% | \$1,656,488,620 | 7.6\% |
| Other Non-Tax | \$7,294,356 | 8.3\% | \$1,546,639,712 | 7.1\% |
| Total | \$87,584,859 | 100.0\% | \$21,827,297,153 | 100.0\% |
| District |  |  | State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Federal } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | n-Tax |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { State } \end{aligned}$ | Federal <br> Other Non-Tax |

Phone: (419) 473-8220
County: Lucas

## Your District's Schools

| School | Achievement | Progress | Gap Closing | Graduation Rate | K-3 Literacy | Prepared for Success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greenwood Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Hiawatha Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| J ackman Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| J efferson Junior High School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Mcgregor Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Meadowvale Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Monac Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Shoreland Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Washington Junior High School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Wernert Elementary School | D | D | F | C | D | D |
| Whitmer High School | D | D | F | C | D | D |

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.


[^0]:    $N C=$ Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

