## **Meadowvale Elementary School**

io **Education** 

2755 Edgebrook Dr, Toledo, OH 43613-1266 - Grades K-6 - Lucas County



The School Report Card for the 2007-2008 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Value Added Adequate Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction\*
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

\*new designation beginning 2007-2008 due to Value Added



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Test, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov


## 2007-2008 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Amy C. Franco (419) 473-8284









Students enrolled in Title I schools with 1 or more years in School Improvement status may be eligible for Public School Choice or Supplemental Educational Services Contact your school for specific options available to your child.


intact your school for specific options available to your child.			
State Pe	rcentage of Students	s at and above the P	roficient Level 🔌
Indicators	Your School	Your District	State
Illulcators	2007-2008	2007-2008	2007-2008
3rd Grade Achievement	The state reg	uirement is 75 pei	rcent
1. Reading	84.3 % ✓	83.4 %	77.4 %
2. Mathematics	86.3 % ✓	85.4 %	79.3 %
4th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	rcent
3. Reading	84.5 % 🖌	84.4 %	81.1 %
4. Mathematics	79.3 % 🗸	80.0 %	74.6 %
5. Writing	87.9 % ✓	84.4 %	81.7 %
5th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	rcent
6. Reading	75.0 % ✓	74.9 %	72.7 %
7. Mathematics	60.0 %	66.2 %	61.8 %
8. Science	54.2 %	67.7 %	66.4 %
9. Social Studies	60.0 %	68.2 %	64.8 %
6th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	rcent
10. Reading	86.2 % ✓	86.5 %	79.7 %
11. Mathematics	86.2 % 🗸	81.6 %	76.6 %
7th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
12. Reading		84.5 %	77.3 %
13. Mathematics		73.2 %	68.8 %
14. Writing		92.4 %	85.7 %
8th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
15. Reading		80.4 %	79.4 %
16. Mathematics		74.7 %	72.8 %
17. Science		60.5 %	62.2 %
18. Social Studies		42.5 %	53.5 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
19. Reading		87.3 %	85.2 %
20. Mathematics		88.2 %	79 %
21. Writing		88.5 %	85.2 %
22. Science		75.5 %	72.8 %
23. Social Studies		80.4 %	78.4 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)	The state req	uirement is 85 per	cent
24. Reading		95.0 %	91.9 %
25. Mathematics		93.4 %	88.2 %
26. Writing		95.0 %	93 %
27. Science		89.0 %	83.6 %
28. Social Studies		89.7 %	86.5 %
Attendance Rate	The state req	uirement is 93 per	
29. All Grades	95.6 % ✓	94.3 %	94.2 %
2006-07 Graduation Rate		uirement is 90 per	
30. School		87.7 %	86.9 %
Any result at or above the state standard	d is indicated by a 🗸	07.7 /0	00.9 /0
'Cumulative results for students who took the tests			


'Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.


## Your School's Assessment Results Over Time




All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.









State Indicators

The State Indicators are

based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for an Achievement or Graduation Test, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment. For the 11th grade OGT indicator, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.

<sup>2</sup>Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

# Performance Index Score

# Performance Index Score Calculations for the 2007-2008 School Year

3-8 and 10 for a	vel Across Grades II Tested Subjects student enrolled in full academic year)	Percentage	х	Weight	=	Points	
	Untested	0.2	Х	0.0	=	0.0	
	Limited	6.2	Χ	0.3	=	1.9	
	Basic	17.2	Χ	0.6	=	10.3	
	Proficient	40.1	Χ	1.0	=	40.1	
	Accelerated	20.1	Χ	1.1	=	22.1	
	Advanced	16.3	Χ	1.2	=	19.5	

Your School's Performance Index Score 93.9



The Performance Index Score reflects the achievement of

every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index Score is a weighted average of all tested subjects and grades. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2), and the weights decrease for each performance level. This results in a scale from O to 120 points. The Performance Index Score can be compared across years to show achievement trends.

#### **Performance Index Score Over Time**

2007-2008	2006-2007	2005-2006	
93.9	94	93.8	

## Value-Added Measure



**Overall Composite** 



Grade 4

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2007-2008 school year.

Grade 7

Grade 8

Reading +

**Mathematics** 



Grade 6

Your school's value-added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one

Grade 5

year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

\*Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade from 4 through 8.

### Legend

+ = Above

Expected Growth

= Met Expected Growth

= Below Expected Growth

## **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



Gra Gra	equate Yearly Progress ade 3-8 and 10 Reading ade 3-8 and 10	AllStude	ints	Asian P	antaged acific lalande	Arneric	an Indian/Alas	Kan Kunti-R	acial wite	non-Hispanic Students	with Disability	AYP Dete	ermination
IV	lathematics	VIII.	£co.	ASIL	Bla	Am	Hisk	Mir	Mur	Sitt	rju.	by In	dicator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Not Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Participation:	
Gra	aduation Rate*	N/A										Graduation Rate:	N/A
Atte	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AY	/P Designation by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	AYP Status of Your School:	Not Met

#### Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets by combining currently proficient students with those students projected to become proficient.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.

NA	Not Applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.
	Note: *The AYP determination for Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate is evaluated using only the results of the 'All Students' group.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students; Economically Disadvantaged Students; Asian/Pacific Islander Students; Black, non-Hispanic Students; American Indian/Alaskan Native Students; Hispanic Students; Multi-Racial Students; White, non-Hispanic Students; Students with Disabilities (IEP); and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. AYP also can affect the state designation that a school or district receives in one of two ways. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

## State and Federally Required School Information

#### Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian or Nat. Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	25.0 NC 25.0 NC	NC NC NC 	NC  NC 	NC NC NC NC	15.8 NC 15.8 NC NC	2.7 0.0 5.5 4.2 0.0	1.0 0.0 3.0 0.0 2.1	39.4 NC 42.4 16.7 7.7	   	2.0 0.0 4.1 2.7 2.6	14.0 4.5 16.3 4.5 4.5	NC  NC 	5.9 0.0 5.9 3.2 0.0	7.0 3.2 11.3 3.6 6.9
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scori	ng Basio										
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	12.5 NC 33.3 NC NC	NC NC NC 	NC  NC 	NC NC NC NC	0.0 NC 26.3 NC NC	12.1 10.6 9.9 39.6 37.5	10.4 6.0 10.4 42.6 31.9	15.2 NC 33.3 41.7 53.8	   	7.4 2.8 8.8 35.1 23.7	17.4 22.7 22.1 54.5 59.1	NC NC 	10.1 7.4 15.1 38.7 35.5	12.2 12.9 12.2 46.4 37.9
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	37.5 NC 25.0 NC NC	NC NC NC 	NC  NC  	NC NC NC NC	42.1 NC 26.3 NC NC	42.3 66.0 39.6 27.1 35.4	44.3 74.0 41.8 29.8 40.4	27.3 NC 6.1 8.3 7.7	   	38.5 69.4 35.1 21.6 34.2	47.7 68.2 39.5 31.8 31.8	NC  NC 	37.8 70.4 43.7 29.0 38.7	46.1 67.7 29.6 21.4 27.6
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	ng Acce	lerated	1								
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	16.7 NC 16.7 NC NC	NC NC NC 	NC  NC  	NC NC NC NC	26.3 NC 21.1 NC NC	24.7 17.0 16.5 20.8 20.8	26.9 16.0 18.4 23.4 19.1	3.0 NC 12.1 16.7 30.8	   	28.4 19.4 21.6 29.7 31.6	15.1 4.5 10.5 9.1 4.5	NC  NC 	27.7 18.5 16.8 22.6 19.4	19.1 9.7 18.3 21.4 24.1
Percen		Student												
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	8.3 NC 0.0 NC NC	NC NC NC 	NC  NC 	NC NC NC NC	15.8 NC 10.5 NC NC	18.1 6.4 28.6 8.3 6.3	17.4 4.0 26.4 4.3 6.4	15.2 NC 6.1 16.7 0.0	   	23.6 8.3 30.4 10.8 7.9	5.8 0.0 11.6 0.0 0.0	NC  NC 	18.5 3.7 18.5 6.5 6.5	15.7 6.5 28.7 7.1 3.4

## Your School's Students 2007-2008

Percentage											
Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	
430	9.1%	NC	NC	3.0%	8.7%	78.0%	43.0%	NC	11.7%	NC	

NC = Not calculated; displayed when there are fewer than 10 students in student group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

N/A

< 10

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

## **Federally Required School Teacher Information**

	Your Building	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	96.0	95.7
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	68.0	60.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0	100.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taugh		h-Poverty Low-Poverty School* School*

\*High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students.

teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure

Your building is a high-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 2. Your building is a low-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 3. Your building is neither a high-poverty school or a low-poverty school if no data appears in either Column 2 or Column 3.

NC

NC

## Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2007

Measure	2006-07 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate		EMIS
Mean ACT Score	0	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT		ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	0	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT		College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	NA	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	0	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	NA	College Board, EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one Tech Prep Course	0	EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one PSEO course		EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

#### Legend

**EMIS** - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education **ACT College Entrance Exam** - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT College Entrance Test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit membership association that administers the SAT exam

**PSEO** - Post-Secondary Enrollment Options, a program that enables high school students, grades 9-12, to earn college and high school graduation credit

**AP** - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

**Tech Prep** - A combination of college preparatory academics and advanced career-technical education

## **Determining Your School's Rating**

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary rating, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index score and 3) AYP status.







<b>Preliminary Designation</b>		AYP Status		Performance Index Score		Indicators Met
Excellent	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 <b>%</b>
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary rating results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's performance index score. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If a school does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- 3. In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, value-added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

- 1. If your school's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then value-added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your school experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will increase by one level.
- 3. In all other cases, value added has no effect on the rating and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure	Final Designation	
Franklant	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction	
Excellent	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Excellent	
	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent	
Effective	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Effective	
Continuous Improvement	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years		Effective	
- Continuous improvement	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Continuous Improvement	
A a a daveia Watah	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement	
Academic Watch	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Watch	
Acadomio Emorgenos	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch	
Academic Emergency	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Emergency	

# Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov