#### 2013 - 2014 Report Card for

# Meadowvale Elementary School

SCHOOL GRADE

Coming in 2016



#### Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

Performance Index 80.7%	B
Indicators Met	_
66.7%	D

### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016



#### Progress

This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

Value Added		5
Overall		B
Gifted		C
Students with Disabilitie	es	C
Lowest 20% in Achieve	ement	C

#### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016



## Gap Closing

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?

Annual	Measurable Objectives	
46.2%	-	ŀ

#### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016



### **Graduation Rate**

This grade answers the question – How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

# COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016

#### Graduation Rates

This school is not evaluated for graduation rate because there are not enough students in the graduating class.



### K-3 Literacy

This grade answers the question – Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?

K-3 Literacy Improvement	_
48.8%	. C

#### COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2016



### Prepared for Success

This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your district ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

#### COMPONENT GRAD

Coming in 2016

### Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question - How well did students do on the state test?

COMPONENT GRADE Coming in

#### Performance Index

The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving performance.

#### Performance Index




Achievement	Pct of	F	Points for		Points
Level	Students	t	his Level	F	Received
Advanced Plus	0.0	Х	1.3	=	0.0
Advanced	21.9	Х	1.2	=	26.2
Accelerated	24.2	Х	1.1	=	26.6
Proficient	33.5	Х	1.0	=	33.5
Basic	14.6	Х	0.6	=	8.7
Limited	5.9	Х	0.3	=	1.8
Untested	0.0	Х	0.0	=	0.0
					96.8


80.7%

96.8 of a possible 120.0

 $\begin{array}{lll} A = & 90.0 - 100.0\% \\ B = & 80.0 - 89.9\% \\ C = & 70.0 - 79.9\% \\ D = & 50.0 - 69.9\% \\ F = & 0.0 - 49.9\% \end{array}$ 



#### Performance Index Trend



#### GRADE

#### Indicators Met

D

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. At least 80 percent of students must pass to get credit for the indicator.

Indicators Met %

66.7%

6 out of 9

 $\begin{array}{lll} A = 90.0 - 100.0\% \\ B = 80.0 - 89.9\% \\ C = 70.0 - 79.9\% \\ D = 50.0 - 69.9\% \\ F = 0.0 - 49.9\% \end{array}$ 



Grades 3-5

	Mathematics	84.9%	V
3rd Grade	Reading	80.8%	V
445 0000 100	Mathematics	88.0%	V
4th Grade	Reading	90.7%	V
	Mathematics	62.0%	X
5th Grade	Reading	73.2%	X
	Science	63.4%	X

Grades 6-8


6th Grade	Mathematics	85.3%	V
our Grade	Reading	86.8%	V
7th Grade	Mathematics	NC	NC
7tii Grade	Reading	NC	NC
	Mathematics	NC	NC
8th Grade	Reading	NC	NC
	Science	NC	NC


**Ohio Graduation Test** 

This school does not have enough Ohio Graduation Test results to display this table.



#### 2013 - 2014 Report Card for Meadowvale Elementary School





## Gifted Students

Students Identified as Gifted

17.5% of enrollment

Students Receiving Gifted Services


3.0% of enrollment



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.


Coming in 2015

#### **Gifted Summary**




#### **Achievement**

This chart illustrates the test achievement levels by students identified as gifted in that test's subject. For example, how well do students identified as gifted in Reading do on the state Reading tests?




#### Value-Added


Value-Added measures the progress for all students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.




# **Enrollment by Gifted Category**

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.









Grades 9-12

This chart cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

# **Identified and Receiving Services**

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.





Grades 9-12

This chart cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

# **Progress**



This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question – Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

Component grade

Coming in

2016

GRADE

#### Overall



This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8.

GRADE

#### Gifted Students



This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE

#### Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement



This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading and math achievement.

GRADE

#### Students with Disabilities



 $\mathsf{C}$ 

#### GRADE High School

Coming in 2015

A High School measure of progress will be reported in the 2014-15 school year.

Progress Details


This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade		Progress Score	
rest Graue	Reading	Mathematics	All Tests
All Grades	0.62	1.58	1.38
4th Grade	0.47	0.10	0.39
5th Grade	-2.28	-3.19	-3.50
6th Grade	2.83	5.57	5.34

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is: A = 2.00 and up B = 1.00 to 1.99 C = -1.00 to 0.99 D = -2.00 to -1.01 F = below -2.00

# Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



Performance Index

# **Gap Closing**



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in

2016


# GRADE


#### **Annual Measurable Objectives**

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.



 $\begin{array}{l} 46.2\% \\ \text{A} = 90.0 - 100.0\% \\ \text{B} = 80.0 - 89.9\% \\ \text{C} = 70.0 - 79.9\% \\ \text{D} = 60.0 - 69.9\% \\ \text{F} = 0.0 - 59.9\% \end{array}$ 





#### **Graduation Rate**

This school does not have an Annual Measurable Objective for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2014 AMO for Reading is 84.9%, for Math is 80.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 78.2%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

# **Graduation Rate**



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.



This school has not been assigned a grade for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.

IRN: 024000 Printed on April 30, 2015 Page 12 of 21

The Graduation Rate graph that would appear in this space cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

# K-3 Literacy



This grade answers the question - Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?

#### **COMPONENT GRADE**

Coming in 2016

#### **GRADE**

C

K-3 Literacy Improvement



48.8%

21 out of 43

 $\begin{array}{lll} A = & 81.2 - 100.0\% \\ B = & 62.2 - 81.1\% \\ C = & 43.2 - 62.1\% \\ D = & 24.3 - 43.1\% \\ F = & 0.0 - 24.2\% \end{array}$ 


# In Your School...

- < 10 kindergarten students were not ontrack last year.
  - NC of those students improved to ontrack in 1st grade.
- < 10 first grade students were not ontrack last year.
- NC of those students improved to ontrack in 2nd grade.
- < 10 second grade students were not on-track last year.
  - NC of those students improved to ontrack in 3rd grade.
- < 10 third grade students were not ontrack this year.
  - NC of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

### **Details of Measure**

Not On-Track Point A	< at		Improving to On at Point B	
Kindergarten Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2012 - 2013	< 10	to	1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2012 - 2013	< 10	to	2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2012 - 2013	< 10	to	3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10	to	3rd Grade Reading OAA, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
Deduction for 3rd grad not on a Reading Ir				< 10
Totals	43			21

### Percentage On-Track in Reading Diagnostic



This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2,013-2,014.

#### Third Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the third grade state reading tests given in the fall and spring. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

The Parent Roadmap is available to help parents understand how the Third Grade Reading Guarantee applies to your child.

How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade?	98.6%
How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test?	80.8%

# **Prepared for Success**



This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your school ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

Coming in 2016

### How Prepared was Your 2013 Graduating Class?

ACT: Participation

ACT: Remediation

Free

SAT: Participation

SAT: Remediation

Free

Honors Diploma

Industry-Recognized Credential

Advanced Placement: Participation

AP: Exam Score of 3 or Better

Dual Enrollment Credit

International Baccalaureate

IB: Exam Score of 4 or Better

This data cannot be shown because there are not enough students in the

graduating class of 2013 to evaluate.

### Outcomes after High School Graduation

Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides <u>district reports</u> on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.

Additional data on outcomes after high school are coming soon. These will include college graduation, demographics of college enrollees, workforce and military enlistment.

Note: These data represent students in the 4-year graduation rate, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2010.

Data used in generating the ACT and SAT Remediation Free, AP Exam, IB Exam, and Dual Enrollment Credit measures for the 2013 graduating class were not reported to the Ohio Department of Education by districts. To confirm the information on this page and get a complete picture of the work your district is doing to prepare students for college and career success, please contact your district directly.

### 2013 - 2014 Report Card for Meadowvale Elementary School



Principal: Christine M. Williams

Address: 2755 Edgebrook Dr Toledo OH 43613-1266

Directory information current as of the 2013-2014 Report Card publication date.

### Your School's Students

Phone: (419) 473-8284

Average Daily Enrollment:

518

Number of Limited English Proficiency Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations:


--

# Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Black, Non-Hispanic	49	9.5%
Hispanic	39	7.5%
Multiracial	57	11.0%
White, Non-Hispanic	368	71.1%
Students with Disabilities	40	7.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	309	59.8%
Limited English Proficiency	NC	
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio Test of English Language Acquisition (OTELA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OTELA results, see the Department of Education's web site at http://education.ohio.gov.




### Attendance Rate

Chronic Absenteeism Rate:

8.1%

All Students	95.7%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Black, Non-Hispanic	95.3%
Hispanic	95.9%
Multiracial	95.1%
White, Non-Hispanic	95.9%
Students with Disabilities	94.1%
Economic Disadvantage	95.1%
Limited English Proficiency	NC
Migrant	NC
Male	95.5%
Female	96.1%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group




Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

### Mobility Rates by Subgroup

Stude	Student Mobility %	
All Students	0.7%	
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Black, Non-Hispanic	1.5%	
Hispanic	2.3%	
Multiracial	0.0%	
White, Non-Hispanic	0.5%	
Students with Disabilities	2.0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1.1%	
Limited English Proficiency	NC	
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

### Your School's Teachers

Your School's Poverty Status: Medium-High	Your School	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	99.1
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	73.3	74.2
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	0.3
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	97.9	99.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	0
Lead or Senior Teachers:	0.0	2.0

# Number of Teachers by Program Area

General Education	27.0
Gifted and Talented	0.0
Career-Technical Programs	0.0
Art Education K-8	0.0
Music Education K-8	0.0
Physical Education K-8	0.0
ELL Instructional Program	0.0
Special Education	1.0

A district's high-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

# Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

### Fine Arts Courses Offered


Music (K-8) Visual Art (K-12)

# **Financial Data**



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

# **Classroom Spending Data**



# Spending per Pupil Data

	School	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$8,803	\$9,189
Classroom Instruction	\$6,976	\$6,192
Non-Classroom Spending	\$1,828	\$2,998

