## **Monac Elementary School**

3845 Clawson Ave, Toledo, OH 43623-3874-Grades K-6-Lucas County





The School Report Card for the 2011-2012 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators

Performance Index





Adequate Value-Added Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate. 93%: Graduation Rate. 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

## 2011-2012 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: William H. Magginis (419) 473-8289 Current Superintendent: Patrick C. Hickey (419) 473-8220









Indicators

Percentage of Students at and above the Proficient Level
Your School Your District State
2011-2012 2011-2012 2011-2012

### 3rd Grade Achievement

- 1. Reading
- 2. Mathematics

### 4th Grade Achievement

- 3. Reading
- 4. Mathematics

### 5th Grade Achievement

- 5. Reading
- 6. Mathematics
- 7. Science

### 6th Grade Achievement

- 8. Reading
- 9. Mathematics

#### 7th Grade Achievement

- 10. Reading
- 11. Mathematics

### 8th Grade Achievement

- 12. Reading
- 13. Mathematics
- 14. Science

#### Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

### Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)\*

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- 24. Social Studies

#### Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

### 2010-11 Four-Year Graduation Rate

26. School

#### 

76.6% ✓ 79.1% 79.8%
The state requirement is 75 percent

**92.2% ✓** 85.5% 83.3%

84.4% 🗸 | 82.1% | 78.4%

The state requirement is 75 percent

92.0% ✓ 81.8% 76.8% 78.7% ✓ 70.2% 67.5% 89.3% ✓ 77.6% 72.5%

= 111070 | 12

The state requirement is 75 percent
86.2% 

91.7% | 86.7%
84.6% 

91.7% | 79.9%

The state requirement is 75 percent

 84.4%	79.5%
 78.8%	73.6%

### The state requirement is 75 percent

 90.9%	83.0%
 84.6%	79.6%
 74.3%	71.5%

### The state requirement is 75 percent

 82.8%	86.0%
 81.8%	82.6%
 84.7%	87.1%
 73.0%	76.7%
 81.6%	81.6%

### The state requirement is 85 percent

 93.8%	92.6%
 93.0%	89.9%
 96.0%	93.0%
 88.2%	85.6%
 91.4%	87.8%

The state requirement is 93 percent

96.6% ✓ | 95.1% | 94.5%

The state requirement is 90 percent


-- 84.4% 79.7%


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a .


-- = Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group \*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.


## Your School's Assessment Results Over Time


All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.
















The State Indicators are based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for Achievement or Graduation Tests, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment. For the 11th grade Ohio **Graduation Tests** indicators, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.

State

Indicators





<sup>\*</sup> Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

## **Performance Index**

## **Performance Index Calculations** for the 2011-2012 School Year

	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points
Untested	0.0	Х	0.0	=	0.0
Limited	3.9	X	0.3	=	1.2
Basic	10.5	Χ	0.6	=	6.3
Proficient	35.0	X	1.0	=	35.0
Accelerated	31.8	Χ	1.1	=	34.9
Advanced	18.8	Х	1.2	=	22.6

**Your School's Performance Index** 100.0



The Performance Index reflects the achievement of every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show

#### **Performance Index Over Time**

school achievement trends.

2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010
100.0	99.3	100.1

# Value-Added Measure

**Overall Composite** 



Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2011-2012 school year.

Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6 Grade 7 Grade 8 Reading

**Mathematics** 



Note: Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include students in grades 4 through 8.

Your school's Value-Added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year.

In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved. Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade 4 through 8.

### Legend

**Ahove Expected Growth** 

**Expected Growth** 

**Below Expected Growth** 

# **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



Grad	equate Yearly Progress des 3-8 and 10 Reading and Mathematics	AllStude	nts Economi	Asian P	artic Black, F	Arterica Arterica	n Indian Alas	Nulti-Re	cial White P	on Hispanic Students	with Disabilities Limited End	AYP Deter	
roficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent Proficient	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percen	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
G	raduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Graduation Rate:	N/A
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYF	P Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	AYP Determination for Your School:	Met

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

Legend

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For the non-test attendance rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP target with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
  - \* Attendance Rate is evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

For the non-test graduation rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of two ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) making a 2 percentage point improvement over the previous year.

N/A	Not applicable.								
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.								
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.								
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.								

## Class of 2011 Four-Year Graduation Rate Information

	All Students	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd
	Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic
(					

## Class of 2010 Five-Year Graduation Rate Information

All	American Indian/	Asian or	Black,	Econ.
Students	Alaska Native	Pacific Islander	non-Hispanic	Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic

# State and Federally Required School Information

## Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics	6.3  12.5	  	  	9.1  9.1	0.0  0.0	3.7  4.6	2.1  2.1	17.2  31.0	  	1.6  4.0	5.6  6.3	0.0  5.6	2.9  5.1	4.6  5.4
Science Social Studies		 	 			0.0	0.0		 	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
Percer	ntage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid										
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	6.3  31.3 	   	   	9.1  9.1 	9.1  18.2 	6.5  12.5 7.6 	4.6  11.3 10.3	27.6  34.5 	   	7.2  10.4 7.5 	7.0  16.8 14.3 	11.1  16.7 	6.5  15.9 5.6 	7.7  11.5 15.4 
Percer	ntage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	75.0  43.8 	   	   	27.3  45.5 	40.9  31.8 	42.6  30.1 16.7 	43.9  33.1 14.7 	41.4  17.2 	   	36.8  28.8 12.5 	49.7  33.6 22.9 	44.4  38.9 	38.4  29.7 19.4 	49.2  33.1 15.4 
Percer	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	12.5  6.3  	   	   	27.3  18.2 	45.5  31.8  	29.2  29.6 53.0 	32.2  30.1 54.4 	6.9  13.8 	   	32.8  26.4 50.0	26.6  30.1 54.3 	38.9  27.8  	31.2  29.7 58.3 	27.7  26.9 46.2 
Percer	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Adva	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	0.0  6.3  	   	   	27.3  18.2  	4.5  18.2 	18.1  23.1 22.7 	17.2  23.4 20.6 	6.9  3.4  	   	21.6  30.4 30.0 	11.2  13.3 8.6 	5.6  11.1  	21.0  19.6 16.7 	10.8  23.1 23.1 

## Your School's Students 2011-2012

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
479	7.6%			5.5%	8.0%	77.6%	54.7%	5.0%	8.0%	

<sup>-- =</sup> Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations --

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

## **School Teacher Information**

Your Building's Poverty Status*: Medium-High Poverty	Your Building	District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	99.1%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	82.6%	71.7%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0%	99.7%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%	0.0%

# **Determining Your School's Designation**

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the Performance Index and 3) AYP determination.

and


and

and

Not Met

Not Met

Not Met



80 to 89.9

70 to 79.9

0 to 69.9

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's Performance Index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

or

or

and

50%-74.9%

31%-49.9%

0%-30.9%

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If an Excellent or Effective school does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

=

=

**Academic Watch** 

**Academic Emergency** 

- 1. If your school's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your school experiences above expected growth in the current year, your school's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your school experiences below expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will decrease by one designation.

			7	
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation	
Excellent	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Excellent with Distinction	
		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Effective	
Effective	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Excellent	
Litective		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement	
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Effective	
		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch	
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Continuous Improvement	
rioddollio Tratoli		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency	
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Academic Watch	
Tiousonillo Enlorgonoy		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency	

<sup>\*</sup>In all other cases, Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparison of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov

and search for key word "NAEP"