Shoreland Elementary School

5650 Suder Ave, Toledo, OH 43611-1418 - Grades K-6 - Lucas County





The School Report Card for the 2011-2012 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Yearly Progress

Value-Added

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2011-2012 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Scott M. Scharf (419) 473-8294

Current Superintendent: Patrick C. Hickey (419) 473-8220







Percentage of Students at and above the Proficient Level



	Indicators
3rd Gr	ade Achievement

- 1. Reading
- 2. Mathematics

4th Grade Achievement

- 3. Reading
- 4. Mathematics

5th Grade Achievement

- 5. Reading
- 6. Mathematics
- 7. Science

6th Grade Achievement

- 8. Reading
- 9. Mathematics

7th Grade Achievement

- 10. Reading
- 11. Mathematics

8th Grade Achievement

- 12. Reading
- 13. Mathematics
- 14. Science

Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)*

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- 24. Social Studies

Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

2010-11 Four-Year Graduation Rate

26. School

Your School 2011-2012	Your District 2011-2012	State 2011-2012
The state re	quirement is 75 p	l ercent
90.5% 🗸	82.3%	79.0%
90.2% 🗸	79.1%	79.8%
	quirement is 75 p	
86.8% 🗸	85.5%	83.3%
83.8% 🗸	82.1%	78.4%
The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
88.3% 🗸	81.8%	76.8%
76.6% 🗸	70.2%	67.5%
90.9% 🗸	77.6%	72.5%
The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
94.2% 🗸	91.7%	86.7%
94.2% 🗸	86.0%	79.9%
The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
	84.4%	79.5%
	78.8%	73.6%
The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
	90.9%	83.0%
	84.6%	79.6%
	74.3%	71.5%
The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
	82.8%	86.0%
	81.8%	82.6%
	84.7%	87.1%
	73.0%	76.7%
	81.6%	81.6%
The state re	quirement is 85 p	ercent
	93.8%	92.6%
	93.0%	89.9%
	96.0%	93.0%
	88.2%	85.6%
	91.4%	87.8%
The state re	quirement is 93 p	ercent
96.1% 🗸	95.1%	94.5%
The state re	quirement is 90 p	ercent


79.7% 84.4%


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a \checkmark .


= Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group. *Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders


Your School's Assessment Results Over Time


All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.
















The State Indicators are based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates.

State

graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment. For
the 11th grade Ohio
Graduation Tests
indicators, a cumulative
85% passage rate for each






^{*} Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

assessment is required.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2011-2012 School Year



Your School's Performance Index 101.4

The Performance Index reformance achievem

The Performance
Index reflects the
achievement of every student
enrolled for the full academic

year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show school achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2011-2012	2010-2011	2009-2010
101.4	98.7	102.1

Value-Added Measure




Overall Composite



Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2011-2012 school year.

Reading



Mathematics



Note: Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include students in grades 4 through 8.

Your school's Value-Added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance

at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved. Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade 4 through 8.

Legend

+

AboveExpected Growth

1

Met Expected Growth

_

Below Expected Growth

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



Grad	equate Yearly Progress des 3-8 and 10 Reading and lathematics	All Stude	ints Economi	ncally Disactive	artaged acific Islands	r nor-Hispanic Aneric	n Indian Ales	ka Native	unite.	on Historic	with Disability	AYP Deteri	
roficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent Proficient	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percer	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	NR	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
G	raduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Graduation Rate:	N/A
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYF	Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	AYP Determination for Your School:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For the non-test attendance rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP target with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * Attendance Rate is evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

For the non-test graduation rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of two ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) making a 2 percentage point improvement over the previous year.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

Class of 2011 Four-Year Graduation Rate Information

All	American Indian/	Asian or	Black,	Econ.
Students	Alaska Native	Pacific Islander	non-Hispanic	Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic

Class of 2010 Five-Year Graduation Rate Information

All	American Indian/	Asian or	Black,	Econ.
Students	Alaska Native	Pacific Islander	non-Hispanic	Disadvtgd
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic

State and Federally Required School Information

Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Limited													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	6.7 7.1 	 	 	11.8 0.0 	0.0 0.0 	2.4 3.2 0.0	1.1 1.9 0.0	19.4 13.3 	 	2.0 3.4 0.0	4.0 2.7 0.0	 	0.6 3.1 0.0	5.9 3.0 0.0
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	na Basio	2									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	13.3 35.7 	 	 	5.9 17.6 	7.7 0.0 	6.8 9.7 4.4 	4.9 7.9 7.4 	25.8 36.7 	 	5.4 5.4 4.9	8.6 16.1 13.9 	 	6.1 8.6 6.5 	8.1 13.5 12.9
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Proficient													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	40.0 21.4 	 	 	35.3 47.1 	46.2 53.8 	40.6 39.9 25.0 	40.8 40.6 22.1 	38.7 36.7 	 	39.5 39.5 22.0 	41.7 40.9 25.0	 	38.7 41.7 28.3 	43.0 38.3 16.1
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	33.3 14.3 	 	 	35.3 23.5 	30.8 23.1 	34.5 24.2 44.1 	37.1 25.6 44.1 	12.9 6.7 	 	37.4 22.4 46.3 	31.8 24.8 41.7 	 	36.2 25.2 41.3 	32.6 21.8 48.4
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng <mark>Adva</mark>	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	6.7 21.4 	 	 	11.8 11.8 	15.4 23.1 	15.7 23.0 26.5 	16.1 24.1 26.5 	3.2 6.7 	 	15.6 29.3 26.8 	13.9 15.4 19.4 	 	18.4 21.5 23.9 	10.4 23.3 22.6

Your School's Students 2011-2012

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
568	6.1%			6.6%	4.2%	82.5%	54.2%		9.2%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations _

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

School Teacher Information

Your Building's Poverty Status*: Medium-High Poverty	Your Building	District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	99.1%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	71.0%	71.7%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0%	99.7%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%	0.0%

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Determining Your School's Designation

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the Performance Index and 3) AYP determination.







Preliminary Designation		AYP Designation		Performance Index		Indicators Met
Excellent or Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective or Continuous Improvement	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9%
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's Performance Index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If an Excellent or Effective school does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

- If your school's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- If your school experiences above expected growth in the current year, your school's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your school experiences below expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will decrease by one designation.

			7
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation
Freellant	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Excellent with Distinction
Excellent	and	or Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Effective
Effective	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Excellent
Effective	anu	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Effective
Continuous improvement	ana	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Continuous Improvement
Academic Water	and	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Academic Watch
Academic Emergency	ailu	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency

^{*}In all other cases, Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparison of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov

and search for key word "NAEP"