## **Whitmer High School**

IRN# 041046 io **Education** 

5601 Clegg Dr, Toledo, OH 43613-2022 - Grades 9-12 - Lucas County



The School Report Card for the 2007-2008 school year shows the progress schools have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adequate Yearly Progress

Value Added

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction\*
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

\*new designation beginning 2007-2008 due to Value Added



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Test, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

## 2007-2008 School Year Report Card

Current Principal: Bradford W. Faust (419) 473-8490









Students enrolled in Title I schools with 1 or more years in School Improvement status may be eligible for Public School Choice or Supplemental Educational Services


ontact your school for specific options available to your child.			
State	Percentage of Students	s at and above the P	roficient Level
Indicators	Your School	Your District	State
	2007-2008	2007-2008	2007-2008
3rd Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	rcent
1. Reading		83.4 %	77.4 %
2. Mathematics		85.4 %	79.3 %
4th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	rcent
<ol><li>Reading</li></ol>		84.4 %	81.1 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>		80.0 %	74.6 %
<ol><li>Writing</li></ol>		84.4 %	81.7 %
5th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	
6. Reading		74.9 %	72.7 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>		66.2 %	61.8 %
8. Science		67.7 %	66.4 %
Social Studies		68.2 %	64.8 %
6th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
10. Reading		86.5 %	79.7 %
11. Mathematics		81.6 %	76.6 %
7th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	
12. Reading		84.5 %	77.3 %
13. Mathematics		73.2 %	68.8 %
14. Writing		92.4 %	85.7 %
8th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	
15. Reading		80.4 %	79.4 %
16. Mathematics		74.7 %	72.8 %
17. Science		60.5 %	62.2 %
18. Social Studies		42.5 %	53.5 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)		uirement is 75 per 87.3 %	rcent   85.2 %
19. Reading	87.4 %   ✓ 88.1 %   ✓	88.2 %	79 %
20. Mathematics	88.5 % 🗸	88.5 %	85.2 %
21. Writing 22. Science	75.4 % ✓	75.5 %	72.8 %
	80.3 % ✓	80.4 %	78.4 %
23. Social Studies		uirement is 85 pei	
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade) <sup>2</sup> 24. Reading	95.0 % /	1 95.0 %	91.9 %
25. Mathematics	93.6 % 1	93.4 %	88.2 %
26. Writing	95.2 % 🗸	95.0 %	93 %
27. Science	89.1 % 🗸	89.0 %	83.6 %
28. Social Studies	89.9 % 🗸	89.7 %	86.5 %
Attendance Rate		uirement is 93 per	
29. All Grades	92.4 %	94.3 %	94.2 %
2006-07 Graduation Rate		uirement is 90 pei	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
30. School			
Any result at or above the state stand	87.7 %	87.7 %	86.9 %
Any result at or above the state stand	ara io mulcaleu by a V.		


'Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

## Your School's Assessment Results Over Time



All students in the school for a full academic year are included in the results.





State Indicators

The State Indicators are

based on state assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for an Achievement or Graduation Test, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment. For the 11th grade OGT indicator, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.

<sup>2</sup>Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

# Performance Index Score

# Performance Index Score Calculations for the 2007-2008 School Year

3-8 and 10 for a	vel Across Grades II Tested Subjects student enrolled in full academic year)	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points	
	Untested	0.6	Х	0.0	=	0.0	
	Limited	4.6	Χ	0.3	=	1.4	
	Basic	11.3	Χ	0.6	=	6.8	
	Proficient	35.7	Χ	1.0	=	35.7	
	Accelerated	28.6	Χ	1.1	=	31.4	
	Advanced	19.1	Χ	1.2	=	23	

Your School's Performance Index Score 98.3



The Performance Index Score reflects the achievement of

every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index Score is a weighted average of all tested subjects and grades. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2), and the weights decrease for each performance level. This results in a scale from O to 120 points. The Performance Index Score can be compared across years to show achievement trends.

#### **Performance Index Score Over Time**

2007-2008	2006-2007	2005-2006
98.3	98.7	97.9

## Value-Added Measure

Overall Composite

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2007-2008 school year.

Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6 Grade 7 Grade 8

Reading

Mathematics

Your school's value-added rating represents the progress your school has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

\*Value-Added results are computed only for buildings that include sufficient testing data for students in any grade from 4 through 8.

### Legend

+ = Above Expected Growth

Met ...

Expected Growth

= Below Expected Growth

## **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



Gr Gr	equate Yearly Progress ade 3-8 and 10 Reading ade 3-8 and 10	All Stude	ints	ically Disad	Wantaged Pacific Islander	Aneric Aneric	an Indian/Alas	Kan Multi-Ri	acial wite	non Hispanic Students	with Disability	AYP Dete	rmination
	<b>Mathematics</b>	All	FCC	Ver	Br	All.	His	Mis	Mr.	Str	/III	by Inc	dicator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	Not Met	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Not Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	Met	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Not Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
Gra	aduation Rate*	Met										Graduation Rate:	Met
Att	endance Rate*	N/A										Attendance Rate:	N/A
A	YP Designation by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	Not Met	NR	NR	NR	Met	Not Met	NR	AYP Status of Your School:	Not Met

#### Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets by combining currently proficient students with those students projected to become proficient.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.

NA	Not Applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.
	Note: *The AYP determination for Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate is evaluated using only the results of the 'All Students' group.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students; Economically Disadvantaged Students; Asian/Pacific Islander Students; Black, non-Hispanic Students; American Indian/Alaskan Native Students; Hispanic Students; Multi-Racial Students; White, non-Hispanic Students; Students with Disabilities (IEP); and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. AYP also can affect the state designation that a school or district receives in one of two ways. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in

the state's rating designation.

## State and Federally Required School Information

#### Your School's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian or Nat. Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	2.7 5.3 13.5 8.3 13.5	NC NC NC NC	NC NC NC NC	5.6 0.0 5.3 5.6 0.0	5.9 5.9 5.9 6.3 11.8	3.9 1.7 4.4 3.4 7.8	2.7 1.2 2.6 1.5 5.0	11.3 6.9 19.7 19.7 25.4	   	3.4 2.3 5.1 3.4 7.1	5.3 1.5 5.3 6.1 10.5	NC NC NC NC	2.2 0.4 6.1 5.3 7.0	5.4 3.5 4.2 3.1 8.8
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid	•									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	21.6 18.4 5.4 36.1 16.2	NC NC NC NC	NC NC NC NC NC	5.6 5.6 31.6 22.2 33.3	11.8 17.6 17.6 18.8 11.8	7.1 8.3 5.3 18.8 10.0	4.8 6.3 5.5 17.7 8.7	31.0 27.8 14.1 36.6 29.6	   	7.1 7.3 6.5 20.2 11.9	12.9 15.2 7.5 21.2 11.3	NC NC NC NC	4.8 6.2 6.6 20.0 11.9	12.0 12.3 6.9 20.9 11.5
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Proficient													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	43.2 44.7 40.5 27.8 35.1	NC NC NC NC	NC NC NC NC NC	44.4 61.1 10.5 33.3 11.1	35.3 41.2 23.5 37.5 35.3	40.1 52.3 19.4 34.9 33.3	42.2 52.8 18.9 36.9 35.3	29.6 45.8 33.8 18.3 15.5	   	40.1 53.0 20.6 33.9 29.1	40.9 48.5 22.6 34.8 41.4	NC NC NC NC	38.3 43.6 22.4 36.0 32.6	42.1 58.8 20.0 32.6 32.3
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	27.0 28.9 27.0 19.4 24.3	NC NC NC NC	NC NC NC NC	38.9 33.3 26.3 27.8 38.9	41.2 35.3 23.5 25.0 17.6	38.0 35.8 21.4 25.1 24.8	39.5 38.6 21.3 26.5 26.7	22.5 13.9 26.8 14.1 15.5	   	38.1 35.8 20.8 24.8 26.6	34.1 32.6 25.6 24.2 21.1	NC NC NC NC	43.2 47.6 22.8 24.4 27.3	31.7 23.8 21.5 24.8 23.1
Percen	tage of				nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	5.4 2.6 13.5 8.3 10.8	NC NC NC NC	NC NC NC NC	5.6 0.0 26.3 11.1 16.7	5.9 0.0 29.4 12.5 23.5	10.9 1.9 49.5 17.8 24.3	10.8 1.2 51.6 17.5 24.3	5.6 5.6 5.6 11.3 14.1	   	11.3 1.7 47.0 17.7 25.4	6.8 2.3 39.1 13.6 15.8	NC NC NC NC	11.5 2.2 42.1 14.2 21.1	8.9 1.5 47.3 18.6 24.2

## Your School's Students 2007-2008

	Percentage												
Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant			
2078	8.2%	NC	0.6%	4.4%	2.4%	83.9%	25.4%	NC	16.2%	NC			

NC = Not calculated; displayed when there are fewer than 10 students in student group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

Under the federal
No Child Left Behind
Act, states are
required to report
certain data about
schools and teachers. Data presented
here are for reporting
purposes only and
are not used in the
computation of the
state designation for
districts and
schools.

## Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your Building	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	91.2	95.7
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	54.2	60.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0	100.0
	Your Hig	h-Poverty   Low-Poverty

Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure N/A NC

N/A NC NC

"High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Your building is a high-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 2. Your building is a high-poverty school if a percentage appears in Column 3. Your building is neither a high-poverty school nor a low-poverty school if no data appears in either Column 2.

School\*

## Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2007

Measure	2006-07 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate	87.7 %	EMIS
Mean ACT Score	21	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT	55.9 %	ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	1104	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT	4.1 %	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	18.6 %	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	40	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	3.7 %	College Board, EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one Tech Prep Course	353	EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one PSEO course		EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

#### Legend

**EMIS** - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education **ACT College Entrance Exam** - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT College Entrance Test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit membership association that administers the SAT exam

**PSEO** - Post-Secondary Enrollment Options, a program that enables high school students, grades 9-12, to earn college and high school graduation credit

**AP** - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

**Tech Prep** - A combination of college preparatory academics and advanced career-technical education

## **Determining Your School's Rating**

Determining your school's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary rating, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index score and 3) AYP status.







				i		
<b>Preliminary Designation</b>		<b>AYP Status</b>		Performance Index Score		Indicators Met
Excellent	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 <b>%</b>
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary rating results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your school and your school's performance index score. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a school meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If a school does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- 3. In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, value-added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the school's final designation.

- 1. If your school's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then value-added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your school experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your school's final designation will increase by one level.
- 3. In all other cases, value added has no effect on the rating and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

<b>Preliminary Designation</b>		Value-Added Measure	Final Designation
Freedhant	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction
Excellent	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Excellent
	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent
Effective	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Effective
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective
- Continuous improvement	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Continuous Improvement
Acadamia Watah	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Academic Watch	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Watch
Academie Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch
Academic Emergency	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Emergency

# Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov